

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,419	07/09/2003	Bajko Gabor	56943.00299	7976
	7590 04/15/200 DERS & DEMPSEY I	EXAM	EXAMINER	
8000 TOWERS	S CRESCENT DRIVE	HOANG, DANIEL L		
14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA	22182-6212	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2436		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/615,419	GABOR ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
DANIEL L. HOANG	2436				

	DANIEL L. HOANG	2430						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 25 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
. Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandoment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence within places that application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since 								
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	thin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		di atau an atau att tau si						
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	auding or simplifying tr	ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment of non-allowable claim(s). 								
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [I be entered and an ex	planation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	rided below or appended.							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	ntice of Anneal will not	he entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing								
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary								
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
/Nasser G Moazzami/								
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436								

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments pertaining to forwarding a prefix value to a node to create a security association have been responded to in the previous action and extended in this issue remains the same. examiner still views the sending of the SIP request message from the UE to the P-CSCF as analogous the applicant's caliented 'frowarding a prefix value' because the SIP request message contains a unique prefix. In regards to applicant's argument of the status of claim 3, the heading of the claim was a typo and the rejection of claim 3 was present in the first action and unchanged and remained present in the previous action. The status of the claim remains rejected under 35 USC 102 by Pittimaa.